# Permitted Development Rights for Microgeneration Equipment on Non-Domestic Properties - Consultation



# RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

<u>Please Note</u> this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

	lame/Organisation	)			
	ro Hydro Association				
Title	e Mr√ Ms 🗌 M	rs 🗌 Miss 🗀	Dr □	Please tick as appropriate	
Surna	me				
Kin	g-Smith				
Foren					
Ga	vin				
2. P	ostal Address				
Hig	ıh Appin				
Tyr	nron				
The	ornhill				
Du	mfries & Galloway				
Postcode DG3 4LF Phone 018482			00770	Email administrator@microhydroass	ociation.co.uk
	ermissions - I am	lual		up/Organisation te	
(a)	Do you agree to your resp available to the public (in S Government library and/or Government web site)? Please tick as appropriate	Scottish	(c)	The name and address of your organisation of the made available to the public (in the Scottist Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).	
(b) Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis				Are you content for your <i>response</i> to be madavailable?	de
	Please tick ONE of the follow Yes, make my response, raddress all available	name and		Please tick as appropriate 🗸 🗌 Yes 🔲 No	
	Yes, make my response a but not my name and addr				1
	Yes, make my response a available, but not my addre	nd name			
(d)	issues you discuss. They Are you content for Scottis	may wish to contact y	you again in the	rnment policy teams who may be addressing to future, but we require your permission to do relation to this consultation exercise?  Yes  No	

# **EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES QUESTIONNAIRE**

This Equal Opportunities Questionnaire is requested in order that the Scottish Government can build an accurate picture of the make-up and diversity of the people that our planning policies impact on, and to ensure that the way in which we carry out our consultations is inclusive and not unwittingly discriminatory. If you have responded to this consultation as an individual, it would be helpful if you could complete this form. This information is only used for this purpose.

If you have a disability that requires us to make a reasonable adjustment to enable you to complete this form, please notify us.

Name	Ga	vin Kir	ng-Smith								
Consultation to	Pe	rmitt	rmitted Development			t	Rights for	or			
which you are	Mid	croqe	eneration		•		_	ic			
responding		opert		•	•						
Gender	Ma	le √ ☐ Female ☐									
Ethnic Origin											
How would you describe your ethnic or cultural origin?											
White Scottish		V	/hite British			White	European/Other				
		✓									
Black Scottish		В	lack British			Black	K African				
Black Caribbean	l	В	lack Other								
			]								
Asian Scottish		Α	sian British								
		L									
Indian		Pakistani				Chinese/Other Asian					
		L									
Bangladeshi											
Mixed Race Orig				Othe	r						
Age			T					1			
Under 25	25-39		40-54		55	5-6 <u>5</u> ✓	65+	]			
Disability											
Do you have a disability as defined by the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (DDA)?											
Yes ☐ No ✓ ☐											
The definition of a disability under the DDA is "a physical or mental impairment											
which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on a person's ability to carry											
out normal day to day activities."											

# **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS -**

# **Core Question (Question 1)**

What types and scales of equipment do not need to be examined by a planning authority because they will have an acceptably small impact, or no impact at all?

Micro-hydro installations (under 50kW); these are sufficiently regulated by electrical connection regulations (G83/1 and G59/1, G59/2); SNH requirements, including historic buildings regulations, and environmental laws and regulations (SEPA). They are now also subject to MCS or equivalent certification procedures. These cover all relevant issues. Their footprint, particularly for high head schemes is too small to warrant planning authority involvement in addition to this. Most viable high head schemes are to be found on agricultural land, their intake structures are small (usually<1m height and <3m width), their pipelines are normally buried, their cables are buried, and their turbine houses are smaller than those suggested as permitted building structures.

Regulation through a planning authority duplicates existing regulation.

I have deleted questions on which I have no comment.

#### Question 2

Do you think that it is appropriate to introduce an entirely new schedule of permitted development rights (with specific amendments for existing permitted development rights for Agricultural and Forestry units). The alternative is to make amendments to each of the existing classes of permitted development.

No

## **Question 40**

Given the number of components which are required to make an operational micro hydro scheme and the variability of the nature of invasiveness of each individual scheme is there sufficient value gained in granting permitted development for one part of the scheme given that planning permission would be required for the remainder of the scheme?

See answer to question 1

#### **Question 41**

Is the proposed condition on the removal of equipment sufficient to safeguard against inoperative microgeneration equipment effectively becoming redundant clutter within the built environment?

Comments

## Question 42

Do you think that it is appropriate for the internal noise threshold should be extended to all buildings where people are expected to sleep?

Most turbine houses will be sited near the watercourse from which water has been abstracted and will not generate significantly more noise than the water itself (which will become quieter as energy will be removed from it)

# **Partial Equalities Impact Assessment - Consultation Question**

(Annex B to the main consultation paper)

# Partial Business and Regulatory Impact Assessment for Permitted Development Rights for Microgeneration Equipment on Non-Domestic Properties - Consultation Questions

(Separate consultation document, available on the Scottish Government's website)

## **Question 3**

Do you agree with the range of costs for planning applications for microgeneration equipment, if not, what should the range be?

For micro-hydro schemes, costs of obtaining licenses for abstraction and costs of meeting electrical connection regulations

#### **Question 4**

Is the approach taken to costs associated with compliance with the Microgeneration Certification Scheme appropriate?

The current MCS scheme is not viable for micro-hydro and imposes costs on potential suppliers and installers which are prohibiting development; an alternative scheme of design and sight inspection now under consideration will add costs for proprietors. As stated earlier, there is no case for further planning approval with its associated costs.

# **Question 7**

We would welcome comments from consultees on the impacts on Scottish firms and competition as a result of the proposed regulations.

See answer to question 4 – unless an alternative to MCS certification is introduced, there is likely to be very limited development in the micro-hydro sector. Requirements for planning regulation and its associated duplication of effort and costs will exacerbate this.